

## Management Group Restructure Proposal

### **The Union Notes:**

1. CSPB has been proposing the idea of a Management Group Restructure since 2012
2. That there is a discrepancy in the number of clubs under each Management Group Chair
3. That CSPB has held two focus groups containing Management Group Chairs and Constituent Union Chairs, creating a plan for redevelopment of Management Groups
3. That CSPB prioritised this project prior to year-start
4. That CSPB has had extensive discussions on the restructure proposal
5. That no club will be forced into a new Management Group without being consulted
6. That CSPB has passed the restructure proposal
7. That the systems team have been notified of the change and have advised that the new roles can be elected this year
8. That the restructure will lead to the total number of seats on Union Council for Management Groups will shrink from 8 to 6.
9. That the Constituent Unions will be amending their constitutions to and will be proposing that their respective Vice Presidents (Clubs & Societies) should have seats on Council, ultimately growing club representation by 2 seats if approved.

### **The Union Believes:**

1. A restructure to the Management Group structure (and adding sub-chairs with financial authority) will allow more development per relevant chair, owing to fewer clubs to manage and a decrease in associated financial administration
2. Increased training to Management Group committees will increase the speed at which student club officers have their queries resolved

### **The Union Resolves:**

1. To approve the restructure as recommended by CSPB and DPCS
2. To mandate DPCS/CSPB to consult with clubs and to progress with the Management Group Development Project